

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Aberdeen

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Aberdeen	(SR-SU) McLaughlin	3,995	49.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	49.9%
Aberdeen	(SR-SU) Standing Rock	4,946	51.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.8%
Aberdeen	Cheyenne River	7,775	53.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	53.0%
Aberdeen	Crow Creek	3,741	48.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	48.9%
Aberdeen	(F-SU) Flandreau	1,820	50.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	50.3%
Aberdeen	(Y-SU) Yankton-Santee Of Nebrask	1,055	48.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	48.9%
Aberdeen	Lower Brule	2,009	54.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	54.5%
Aberdeen	Northern Ponca	2,615	42.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	42.6%
Aberdeen	Omaha	3,468	50.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	50.5%
Aberdeen	(PR-SU) Pine Ridge	15,123	54.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	54.2%
Aberdeen	(PR-SU) Kyle	3,726	65.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	65.6%
Aberdeen	(PR-SU) Wanblee	1,947	63.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	63.4%
Aberdeen	Rapid City	13,552	37.5%	\$1,167,384	\$ 721,100	\$ 821,000	40.8%
Aberdeen	Rosebud	12,143	55.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	55.0%
Aberdeen	Sac & Fox	1,783	31.5%	\$591,317	\$ 365,200	\$ 282,000	40.8%
Aberdeen	Sisseton-Wahpeton	6,021	92.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	92.5%
Aberdeen	Spirit Lake (FT-SU)	5,215	44.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.4%
Aberdeen	Three Affiliated (FB-SU)	5,684	44.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.5%
Aberdeen	Trenton	1,631	49.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	49.0%
Aberdeen	Turtle Mountain	12,743	65.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	65.6%
Aberdeen	Winnebago	4,579	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Aberdeen	(Y-SU) Yankton-Wagner	3,808	51.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.4%
Aberdeen Area Sites In Total		119,379	56.6%	\$1,758,701	\$ 1,086,300	\$1,103,000	57.1%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Alaska

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Alaska	Aleutian Pribilof Islands Associatio	1,251	88.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	88.5%
Alaska	Arctic Slope Native Assn.	4,325	73.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	73.3%
Alaska	Bristol Bay Area Health	5,884	96.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	96.8%
Alaska	Chugachmiut Tribe	1,688	74.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	74.2%
Alaska	Copper River Native Associaton	635	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Alaska	Eastern Aleutian Tribe	1,094	85.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	85.5%
Alaska	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	2,759	44.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.8%
Alaska	Ketchikan Indian Corporation	2,797	55.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	55.4%
Alaska	Kodiak	2,265	71.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	71.7%
Alaska	Maniilaq	7,630	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Alaska	Metlakatla Indian Tribe	1,442	84.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	84.6%
Alaska	Misc. Anchorage Tribes	552	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Alaska	Ninilchik	1,195	 36.8%	\$167,612	\$ 103,500	\$ 106,000	40.8%
Alaska	Norton Sound	8,309	62.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	62.1%
Alaska	Seldovia	1,500	 39.6%	\$22,112	\$ 13,700	\$ 59,000	40.8%
Alaska	Southcentral Foundation	41,773	56.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	56.2%
Alaska	Southeast Alaska Regional	12,169	78.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	78.2%
Alaska	Tanana Chiefs Conference	12,839	57.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	57.3%
Alaska	Yukon Kuskokwim	24,636	47.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.8%
Alaska Area Sites In Total		134,743	63.8%	\$189,724	\$ 117,200	\$165,000	63.8%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Albuquerque

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Albuquerque	(ZR-SU) Ramah	1,977	60.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.7%
Albuquerque	(ZR-SU) Zuni	8,664	60.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.7%
Albuquerque	Acoma-Canoncito-Laguna	11,090	53.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	53.9%
Albuquerque	Albuquerque	32,260	37.0%	\$2,870,635	\$ 1,773,100	\$ 1,866,000	40.8%
Albuquerque	Jicarilla	3,488	60.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.3%
Albuquerque	Mescalero	4,585	60.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.1%
Albuquerque	Santa Fe	14,884	56.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	56.1%
Albuquerque	So Colorado Ute	5,573	45.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	45.2%
Albuquerque	Taos	2,196	56.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	56.0%
Albuquerque	Ysleta Del Sur	954	83.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	83.3%
Albuquerque Area Sites In Total		85,671	49.3%	\$2,870,635	\$ 1,773,100	\$1,866,000	50.7%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Bemidji

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
Area	Operating Unit (Site)	User Count	FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF \$	2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)	Revised FDI % Score
Bemidji	Bad River	1,804	42.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	42.8%
Bemidji	Bay Mills	1,158	45.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	45.9%
Bemidji	Bois Forte/Nett Lake (T-V)	1,399	45.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	45.3%
Bemidji	Fond Du Lac (T-V)	5,917	38.9%	\$212,145	\$ 131,000	\$ 241,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Forest County	1,129	35.1%	\$206,435	\$ 127,500	\$ 113,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Grand Portage	490	49.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	49.0%
Bemidji	Grand Traverse (T-V)	1,662	47.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.6%
Bemidji	Greater Leech Lake	10,067	44.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.6%
Bemidji	Greater Red Lake	7,824	62.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	62.8%
Bemidji	Greater White Earth	8,019	47.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.0%
Bemidji	Gun Lake	185	79.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	79.3%
Bemidji	Hannahville	752	50.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	50.6%
Bemidji	Ho-Chunk	4,736	36.4%	\$587,365	\$ 362,800	\$ 357,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Huron Potawatomi	406	64.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	64.1%
Bemidji	Keweenaw Bay (T-V)	1,700	42.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	42.7%
Bemidji	Lac Courte Oreilles	3,504	39.1%	\$113,746	\$ 70,300	\$ 146,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Lac Du Flambeau	2,819	43.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	43.3%
Bemidji	Lac Vieux Desert	407	79.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	79.8%
Bemidji	Little River Ottawa	1,087	39.5%	\$18,236	\$ 11,300	\$ 37,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Little Traverse Odawa	2,548	38.5%	\$126,866	\$ 78,400	\$ 118,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Lower Sioux	811	32.4%	\$231,810	\$ 143,200	\$ 113,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Menominee	6,793	40.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ 124,000	40.9%
Bemidji	Mille Lacs (T-V)	2,518	40.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ 34,000	40.9%
Bemidji	Oneida (T-V)	10,653	33.1%	\$2,200,955	\$ 1,359,500	\$ 1,099,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Pokagon Potawatomi	1,218	79.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	79.5%
Bemidji	Prairie Island	409	47.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.3%
Bemidji	Red Cliff	1,632	43.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	43.1%
Bemidji	Saginaw Chippewa	2,954	37.0%	\$307,057	\$ 189,700	\$ 199,000	40.8%
Bemidji	Saulte Sainte Marie (T-V)	11,037	36.0%	\$1,380,211	\$ 852,500	\$ 804,000	40.8%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Bemidji, pg2

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Bemidji	Shakopee	825	 34.9%	\$140,490	\$ 86,800	\$ 76,000	 40.8%
Bemidji	Sokaogon	379	62.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 62.1%
Bemidji	St Croix	1,670	 37.9%	\$129,473	\$ 80,000	\$ 100,000	 40.8%
Bemidji	Stockbridge-Munsee	1,520	50.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 50.2%
Bemidji	Upper Sioux	211	76.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 76.1%
Bemidji Area Sites In Total		100,243	42.9%	\$5,654,790	\$ 3,493,000	\$3,561,000	 45.0%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Billings

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
Area	Operating Unit (Site)	User Count	FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF \$	2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)	Revised FDI % Score
Billings	Blackfeet	11,360	64.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	64.4%
Billings	Crow	13,066	61.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	61.5%
Billings	Flathead	11,085	39.9%	\$32,316	\$ 20,000	\$ 403,000	40.8%
Billings	Ft Belknap	4,665	73.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	73.1%
Billings	Ft Peck	8,444	55.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	55.6%
Billings	No. Cheyenne	6,431	66.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	66.0%
Billings	Rocky Boy	4,730	59.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	59.1%
Billings	Wind River	10,415	43.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	43.3%
Billings Area Sites In Total		70,196	55.4%	\$32,316	\$ 20,000	\$403,000	55.6%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - California

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
Area	Operating Unit (Site)	User Count	FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF \$	2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)	Revised FDI % Score
California	(CVIHP) Cold Springs Tribal Council	143	41.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	41.2%
California	(CTHP) Coyote Valley Tribal Council	128	35.7%	\$21,974	\$ 13,600	\$ 13,000	40.9%
California	(CTHP) Guidiville Indian Rancheria	55	35.7%	\$9,442	\$ 5,800	\$ 5,000	40.6%
California	(CTHP) Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	218	35.7%	\$37,424	\$ 23,100	\$ 21,000	40.8%
California	(SCIHP) Lytton Rancheria	120	43.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	43.5%
California	(CTHP) Pinoleville Band of Pomo Indians	74	35.7%	\$12,703	\$ 7,800	\$ 7,000	40.7%
California	(LCIHP) Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians	60	35.7%	\$10,300	\$ 6,400	\$ 6,000	40.9%
California	(CTHP) Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians	210	35.7%	\$36,050	\$ 22,300	\$ 21,000	40.9%
California	(CRIHB) MACT	2,042	56.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	56.3%
California	(CRIHB) Shingle Springs	942	42.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	42.2%
California	(CRIHB) Sonoma County	4,531	50.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	50.7%
California	(CRIHB) United Indian Health Services	6,911	48.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	48.1%
California	(CRIHB) Warner Mountain	126	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
California	(CRIHB) - Lone Band of Miwok	66	48.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	48.3%
California	Cabazon	6	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
California	(CV) - Central Valley	5,972	43.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	43.7%
California	Chapa De	4,774	40.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ 28,000	41.0%
California	Colusa	112	70.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	70.0%
California	(CTHP) Consolidated	1,904	62.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	62.2%
California	Feather River	3,848	39.7%	\$33,054	\$ 20,400	\$ 111,000	40.8%
California	Greenville	1,199	39.6%	\$17,889	\$ 11,000	\$ 42,000	40.8%
California	Hoopla	2,927	56.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	56.6%
California	Indian Health Council	4,353	63.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	63.8%
California	Karuk	1,831	53.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	53.3%
California	Lake County	1,805	41.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	41.6%
California	Modoc	175	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
California	Northern Valley	1,869	41.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	41.4%
California	Pit River	876	66.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	66.3%
California	Quartz Valley	163	35.8%	\$25,262	\$ 15,600	\$ 14,000	40.7%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - California, pg2

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
California	Redding Rancheria	3,704	61.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	61.3%
California	Riverside/San Bernardino	12,484	60.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.1%
California	Round Valley	1,328	47.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.8%
California	Santa Ynez	973	32.4%	\$287,780	\$ 177,800	\$ 140,000	40.8%
California	Southern Indian Health Council	2,655	63.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	63.6%
California	Susanville	976	50.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	50.5%
California	Sycuan	60	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
California	Table Mountain	80	26.8%	\$37,051	\$ 22,900	\$ 16,000	40.7%
California	Toiyabe	2,711	57.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	57.8%
California	Tule River	2,506	57.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	57.2%
California	Tuolumne Me-WUK	93	66.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	66.9%
California Area Sites In Total		75,010	52.3%	\$528,929	\$ 326,700	\$424,000	52.6%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Nashville

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Nashville	Alabama-Coushatta	873	55.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	55.7%
Nashville	Catawba	1,041	69.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	69.5%
Nashville	Cayuga	115	70.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	70.8%
Nashville	Cherokee	10,295	72.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	72.5%
Nashville	Chitimacha	450	66.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	66.5%
Nashville	Choctaw	8,969	65.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	65.7%
Nashville	Coushatta	448	57.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	57.4%
Nashville	Houlton Band of Maliseet	428	93.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	93.3%
Nashville	Jena Band of Choctaw	130	70.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	70.2%
Nashville	Manlius (Onondaga)	269	48.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	48.1%
Nashville	Miccosukee	718	72.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	72.8%
Nashville	Micmac	480	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Nashville	Mohegan	1,014	56.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	56.6%
Nashville	Narragansett	680	73.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	73.6%
Nashville	Oneida	1,758	52.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	52.7%
Nashville	Passamaquoddy-Ind. Township	767	68.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	68.3%
Nashville	Passamaquoddy-Pleasant Pt.	961	80.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	80.4%
Nashville	Penobscot	1,342	69.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	69.5%
Nashville	Pequot	690	68.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	68.9%
Nashville	Poarch Creek	1,936	61.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	61.9%
Nashville	Seminole	3,669	47.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.5%
Nashville	Seneca	5,436	59.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	59.9%
Nashville	St. Regis Mohawk	4,386	51.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.1%
Nashville	Tunica-Biloxi	293	48.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	48.4%
Nashville	Wampanoag of Gayhead	290	75.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	75.7%
Nashville Area Sites In Total		50,061	63.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$0	63.8%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Navajo

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Navajo	(C-SU) Chinle	16,606	44.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.8%
Navajo	(C-SU) Pinon	9,002	69.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	69.9%
Navajo	(C-SU) Tsaile	7,927	62.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	62.9%
Navajo	(FD-SU) Fort Defiance	18,727	51.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.8%
Navajo	(FD-SU) Ganado	12,202	67.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	67.4%
Navajo	(G-SU) Gallup	33,812	54.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	54.2%
Navajo	(G-SU) Tohatchi	7,598	66.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	66.1%
Navajo	(K-SU) Inscription House	3,383	38.2%	\$196,385	\$ 121,300	\$ 162,000	40.8%
Navajo	(K-SU) Kayenta	13,171	51.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.9%
Navajo	(S-SU) Dziłth Na O Dith Hle	5,420	59.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	59.4%
Navajo	(S-SU) Shiprock	37,039	55.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	55.9%
Navajo	(S-SU) Red Mesa	2,785	52.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	52.8%
Navajo	(W-SU) Dilkon	6,424	46.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	46.3%
Navajo	(W-SU) Leupp	3,714	53.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	53.9%
Navajo	(W-SU) Winslow	5,223	44.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.4%
Navajo	Crownpoint	20,339	59.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	59.3%
Navajo	Tuba City	27,438	61.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	61.8%
Navajo	Utah Navajo	7,171	51.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.0%
Navajo Area Sites In Total		237,981	55.3%	\$196,385	\$ 121,300	\$162,000	55.3%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Oklahoma

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Oklahoma	Abs Shawnee	7,499	 35.4%	\$879,533	\$ 543,300	\$ 490,000	 40.8%
Oklahoma	Cherokee	58,744	43.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 43.8%
Oklahoma	Chickasaw	31,217	61.9%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 61.9%
Oklahoma	Choctaw	33,633	69.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 69.7%
Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi	13,258	 33.6%	\$2,162,013	\$ 1,335,000	\$ 1,099,000	 40.8%
Oklahoma	Claremore	14,642	68.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 68.4%
Oklahoma	Clinton	9,641	53.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 53.3%
Oklahoma	Creek	18,181	58.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 58.5%
Oklahoma	Haskell	3,806	40.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ 27,000	 40.9%
Oklahoma	Iowa - KS NE	561	 25.3%	\$261,892	\$ 161,800	\$ 387,000	 56.1%
Oklahoma	Iowa Of Oklahoma	911	40.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ 17,000	 40.9%
Oklahoma	Kaw	938	50.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 50.3%
Oklahoma	Kickapoo Of Kansas	768	44.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 44.1%
Oklahoma	Kickapoo Of Oklahoma	6,116	 32.7%	\$1,280,935	\$ 791,200	\$ 631,000	 40.8%
Oklahoma	Kickapoo Of Texas	96	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 100.0%
Oklahoma	Lawton	22,433	52.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 52.2%
Oklahoma	Miami Consortium	3,382	50.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 50.7%
Oklahoma	Pawnee	10,577	58.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 58.6%
Oklahoma	Ponca Tribe Of Oklahoma	3,637	48.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 48.5%
Oklahoma	Prairie Band Pottawatomi	1,514	41.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 41.6%
Oklahoma	Sac & Fox	96	 27.0%	\$40,506	\$ 25,000	\$ 66,000	 56.2%
Oklahoma	Sac And Fox Of Oklahoma	4,986	 34.4%	\$815,795	\$ 503,900	\$ 430,000	 40.8%
Oklahoma	Tahlequah	57,159	 39.4%	\$809,892	\$ 500,300	\$ 1,410,000	 40.8%
Oklahoma	Wewoka	8,731	 33.3%	\$1,501,379	\$ 927,400	\$ 753,000	 40.8%
Oklahoma	Wyandotte / E Shawnee	1,375	42.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 42.6%
Oklahoma Area Sites In Total		313,901	49.8%	\$7,751,944	\$ 4,787,900	\$5,310,000	51.1%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Phoenix

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Phoenix	(CR-SU) Colorado River	5,641	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Phoenix	(CR-SU) Peach Springs/Supai	2,522	88.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	88.0%
Phoenix	(DV-SU) Owyhee	1,236	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Phoenix	(E-SU) Duckwater	134	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Phoenix	(E-SU) Elko	2,227	63.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	63.2%
Phoenix	(E-SU) Ely	319	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Fallon/Lovelock/Yomba	1,798	52.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	52.0%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Ft. Mcdermitt	654	52.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	52.4%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Las Vegas/Moapa	2,228	45.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	45.2%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Pyramid Lake	1,720	30.9%	\$559,481	\$ 345,600	\$ 263,000	40.8%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Reno-Sparks/Nevada Urban	3,975	49.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	49.0%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Schurz/Walker River	875	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Washoe	2,299	59.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	59.6%
Phoenix	(S-SU) Yerington	641	78.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	78.7%
Phoenix	Ft. Yuma	3,749	67.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	67.5%
Phoenix	Gila River	23,071	52.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	52.0%
Phoenix	Keams Canyon/Hopi	6,144	94.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	94.8%
Phoenix	Paiute Tribe-Utah	688	89.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	89.3%
Phoenix	Phoenix SU	62,842	47.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.5%
Phoenix	San Carlos	11,461	44.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	44.4%
Phoenix	Uintah-Ouray	3,907	60.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.8%
Phoenix	Whiteriver	15,476	54.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	54.3%
Phoenix Area Sites In Total		153,607	57.9%	\$559,481	\$ 345,600	\$263,000	58.0%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Portland

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
Area	Operating Unit (Site)	User Count	FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF \$	2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)	Revised FDI % Score
Portland	Burns Paiute	221	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Portland	Chehalis	1,199	 35.7%	\$207,418	\$ 128,100	\$ 118,000	 40.8%
Portland	Coeur d'Alene	4,371	44.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 44.8%
Portland	Colville	8,288	54.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 54.0%
Portland	Coos, L Umpqua, Suislaw	766	68.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 68.6%
Portland	Coquille	963	64.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 64.2%
Portland	Cow Creek	1,955	 39.9%	\$8,537	\$ 5,300	\$ 57,000	 40.8%
Portland	Cowlitz	1,186	 32.3%	\$336,831	\$ 208,100	\$ 164,000	 40.8%
Portland	Grand Ronde	3,220	69.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 69.7%
Portland	Hoh	28	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 100.0%
Portland	Jamestown S'Klallam	397	56.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 56.7%
Portland	Kalispel	270	62.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 62.3%
Portland	Klamath	2,837	62.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 62.5%
Portland	Kootenai	177	86.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 86.6%
Portland	Lower Elwha	748	54.6%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 54.6%
Portland	Lummi	3,981	52.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 52.5%
Portland	Makah	2,013	57.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 57.3%
Portland	Muckleshoot	4,272	 31.2%	\$1,243,389	\$ 768,000	\$ 589,000	 40.8%
Portland	Nez Perce	3,613	55.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 55.8%
Portland	Nisqually	1,339	41.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 41.8%
Portland	Nooksack	994	45.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 45.3%
Portland	NW Band Of Shoshoni	95	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 100.0%
Portland	Port Gamble	1,522	 38.9%	\$60,485	\$ 37,400	\$ 68,000	 40.8%
Portland	Puyallup	7,977	52.1%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 52.1%
Portland	Quileute	630	46.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 46.5%
Portland	Quinault	2,493	61.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 61.8%
Portland	Samish	461	49.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 49.3%
Portland	Sauk-Suiattle	73	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 100.0%
Portland	Shoalwater Bay	431	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	 100.0%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Portland, pg2

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000	55.2%
<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>User Count</i>	<i>FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF \$</i>	<i>2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)</i>	<i>Revised FDI % Score</i>
Portland	Shoshone-Bannock	6,072	62.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	62.4%
Portland	Siletz	5,156	50.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	50.4%
Portland	Skokomish	811	60.7%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	60.7%
Portland	Snoqualmie	190	87.4%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	87.4%
Portland	Spokane	1,781	64.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	64.5%
Portland	Squaxin Island	747	89.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	89.3%
Portland	Stillaguamish	125	88.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	88.3%
Portland	Suquamish	336	100.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	100.0%
Portland	Swinomish	1,183	51.2%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	51.2%
Portland	Tulalip	4,599	35.2%	\$726,066	\$ 448,500	\$ 400,000	40.8%
Portland	Umatilla	2,897	69.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	69.0%
Portland	Upper Skagit	411	29.1%	\$186,325	\$ 115,100	\$ 347,000	56.1%
Portland	Warm Springs	5,229	79.3%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	79.3%
Portland	Western Oregon (Chemawa)	2,616	47.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.5%
Portland	Yakama	12,111	47.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -	47.8%
Portland Area Sites In Total		100,784	54.1%	\$2,769,052	\$ 1,710,500	\$1,743,000	55.1%

FY 2009 IHCIF Allocations - Tucson

Grand Total for All Sites IHS-Wide		1,466,284	54.5%	\$22,311,956	\$ 13,781,600	\$15,000,000		55.2%
Area	Operating Unit (Site)	User Count	FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF \$	2009 IHCIF \$ (rounded)	Revised FDI % Score	
Tucson	Tohono O'Odham	18,213	54.8%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -		54.8%
Tucson	Yaqui	6,495	55.5%	\$0	\$ -	\$ -		55.5%
Tucson Area Sites In Total		24,708	55.0%	\$0	\$ -	\$0		55.0%