IRB Appendix

IHS Institutional Review Board (IRB) Checklist

| P.I.: | Institution: | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-------|---|--|
| Title: | | | | |
| Primary Reviewer: | | Date: | / | |

6 Basic Steps of IRB Review:

- 1. Understand the research as written.
 - A. Science & methods: type of research, scientific merit, risks & benefits.
 - **B.** Study population: definition, inclusion-exclusion, rationale, risks/benefits distribution.
 - **C. Influencing factors & contexts**: confidentiality & security, coercions on research team [e.g., type of compensation], conflicts of interest, Tribal/community involvement.
 - D. Consent process: capacity to consent, feasibility, compensation/coercion, waivers.
- 2. Obtain additional information: resolve contradictions, needed information not present.
- **3.** Minimize potential harms: biological, medical, psychological, social, and cultural harms to individual, family, and community.
- 4. Maximize potential benefits: to individual, family, community, and society [knowledge].
- 5. Ensure justice: Is the intended population appropriate? Does it receive maximum benefits?
- 6. Ensure that the consent process fully informs & freely consents potential participants.

<u>Summary</u> [fill out after completing review]:

The check for the 'IRB-critical' answer is always in the far right column.

| Gene | eral: | No | n/a | Yes |
|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. | Does the research involve special concerns? | | | |
| 2. | Should the research be <u>exempt</u> from IRB review? | | | |
| 3. | Does the research qualify for expedited review? | | | |
| Cont | | Yes | n/a | No |
| 4. | Are anonymity, security, confidentiality, and privacy maintained? | | | |
| 5. | If research with <u>children</u> and \geq minimal risk, does it meet regulations? | | | |
| 6. | Does the research meet requirements and recommendations for trials? | | | |
| 7. | Are all appropriate <u>documents from other IRB(s)</u> included? | | | |
| 8. | Will the research <u>comply with best practices and government policies</u> ? | | | |
| Risks | s, Benefits, and Justice: | | | |
| 9. | Does scientific merit outweigh risk? For individuals, communities, and | | | |
| | families, are risks minimized, benefits maximized, and justice ensured? | | | |
| Infor | med Consent: | No | n/a | Yes |
| 10. | Should the IRB waive all, or some elements of, informed consent? | | | |
| 11. | Should the IRB waive requirements to document informed consent? | | | |
| | - | Yes | n/a | No |
| 12. | Are procedures adequate to negotiate and administer full consent? | | | |
| 13. | Are all necessary elements of informed consent included? | | | |
| Addi | tional IRB Decisions: | | No | Yes |
| 14A. | Should the IRB seek reports of compliance from other than the PI? | | | |
| | Should it review the research sooner than annually, or monitor the process | ? | | |
| | Is the research more than minimal risk? (needed for 'Annual' Reviews) | | | |

1. Does the research involve <u>special concerns</u>?

Present

- A. Vulnerable potential research volunteers with <u>special</u> protections:
 - 1) Children [Read Subpart D if research is more than minimal risk] Both assent of child and permission of parents required. Observational research (if researcher is a participant), surveys, and interviews are not exempt from IRB review. Research with more than minimal risk but no direct benefit to the child is restricted.
 - 2) Fetuses (and pregnant women) [Read Subpart B!] (Pregnant women are <u>not</u> 'vulnerable.') Research is severely restricted. The IRB must assure appropriate process to select, inform, and obtain consent of volunteers; the father's consent is usually required.
 - Prisoners [Read Subpart C!, & 28 CFR 512 for Fed. Bureau of Prisons] Research severely restricted; OHRP must review if > minimal risk; IRB must have a prisoner or prisoner-representative.
 - 4) People with mental impairment [no special regulations] Because informed consent is problematic and the people vulnerable even if ambulatory, this type of research should be limited.
- B. The research presents more than "minimal risks."
 - "Risk" means both the magnitude of harms, and the probability of incurring them. "Minimal risks" means risks a person ordinarily encounters in daily life and in routine medical, dental, or psychological exams. For research with more than minimal risk, the IRB should ensure that the research's <u>benefits are maximized</u> and <u>risks minimized</u>, and <u>compare its scientific merit with its risk</u>. "C" through "H" below are usually more than minimal risk.
- C. Genetic research (and some research using blood and other body tissues). *Risks include: family and community disruption, self-stigmatization, external stigmatization, survivor guilt, loss of insurance, discovered misattributed paternity, etc. See the IHS policy on specimens.*
- D. Sensitive information that could affect insurability, compensation, litigation.
 E.G., child abuse, violence, some infectious diseases, drug abuse.
 Research records are not medical records, and can be subpoenaed; they may be protected by a <u>Certificate of Confidentiality</u>.
- E. Screening for, or diagnosis of, diseases with significant potential for loss of insurance or other services, stigmatization, or self-stigmatization. E.G., screen for carrier of an incurable genetic disease, HIV.
- F. Radiation (may require approval by a Radiation Safety Committee; not permitted in studies of healthy children with no benefit to them).
- G. Possible coercion, on potential participant or on researcher, to entice consent. E.G., high incentives to participants, unequal relationship [employeremployee], capitation payments to researchers to enroll people.
- H. Deception: major (e.g., mislead volunteers about their health status, the researchers, or research purpose); minor (e.g., incompletely disclose a research purpose to avoid biasing the results).

| 2. | Shou | uld the research be exempt from IRB review? [45 CFR 46.101(b)] | Present |
|--------------|-------|--|------------|
| | follo | earch is exemptible when <u>all</u> research methods are only one or more of the wing methods. If the research uses a method that is not one of the 5 categories w, the research is not exemptible from IRB review. | 1 |
| [.101(b)(4)] | A. | Use only existing data, documents, records, or specimens properly obtained. | |
| | | The research must also comply with <u>one</u> of the following: <u>either</u> that | |
| | | "the information is recorded by the investigator [so that] subjects cannot be identified" in the research data directly or statistically, and no-one can trace back from research data to identify a participant; | ot () |
| | | or that2) the sources are publicly available. | (|
| [.101(b)(5)] | B. | Research or demonstration service/care programs, e.g., health care delivery. | |
| | | The research must also comply with <u>all</u> of the following: | |
| | | that the research/demonstration is directly conducted or approved by the head of a US Govt. department or agency, <i>e.g.</i>, Director of the IHS; and that | \bigcirc |
| | | 2) it concerns only issues under usual administrative control (48 Fed Reg 9268-9), <i>e.g.</i>, regulations, eligibility, services, or delivery systems; <i>and that</i> | \bigcirc |
| | | 3) its research/evaluation methods are also exempt from IRB review. | (|
| [.101(b)(2)] | C. | For research not involving vulnerable people [prisoner, fetus, pregnancy, children, or mentally impaired]: <u>observe</u> public behavior (including participatory observation), or do <u>interviews</u> or <u>surveys</u> or <u>educational tests</u> : | |
| | | The research must also comply with <u>one</u> of the following: | |
| | | <u>either that</u> the participants cannot be identified, directly or statistically; | (|
| | | or that 2) the responses/observations could not harm participants if made public; or that | \bigcirc |
| [.101(b)(3)] | | 3) federal statute(s) completely protect all participants' confidentiality; <u>or</u> that | (|
| | | 4) all respondents are elected, appointed, or candidates for public officials | . () |
| [.101(b)(1)] | D. | In educational settings, research or evaluate normal educational practices. | |
| [.101(b)(6)] | E. | For research not involving vulnerable volunteers [see "C." above], do food | |
| | | <u>research</u> to evaluate quality, taste, or consumer acceptance. The research must also comply with <u>one</u> of the following: <u>either</u> that | Present |
| | | 1) the food has no additives; | (|

| | <i>or that</i> the food is certified safe by the USDA, FDA, or EPA. | Yes | n/a | () No |
|-----------|---|--------------------------|------|---|
| If | not exempt now, can the research be made exempt by minor changes? (If so, see if the PI will make those changes.) | | | |
| | For the IHS IRB to consider it Exempt, that is not to review it, the research must <u>also</u> meet <u>all 4 criteria</u> , below: | | | |
| | A) It is <u>in fact less than minimal risk</u> to individuals, families, and communities; <u>and that</u> B) if potentially exempt because participants cannot be identified, the research indeed protects anonymity [see 4.A.]; | | | _ |
| | <i>and that</i> C) if volunteers give information about others, inadvertent disclosure presents no more than minimal risk to those others; <i>and that</i> D) if done in an IHS facility, info sheet has the IHS disclaimer [13T] | | | _ |
| 3. | Does the research qualify for <u>expedited review</u> , not by the full IRB? [4 | 46.110] | Pr | esent |
| | Expedited review is by one IRB member and the Chair. It can be done onl research is only one or more of the following and "exempt" categories. | y if <u>all</u> | the | |
| (per FDA) | <i>The IRB review:</i> <u>either</u> is of A. emergency use of an IND therapy for non-research care to a patient; | | | |
| | or it is of B. minor changes in previously approved research within the approved | period | ; | |
| | or it is an C. 'Annual' Continuing Review, and the research meets one of the follo | owing | | |
| | <i>either</i> had received expedited review initially & <i>has had no adverse eve</i> <i>or</i> was found by full IRB to be not > minimal risk & <i>has had no adver</i> <i>or</i> finished enrollment, & completed all interventions, & has only long- <i>or</i> has not yet enrolled any person, and has found no new risks for the new risk of the ne | <i>se ever</i> term f | /u | $\bigcirc \bigcirc $ |
| | or it is of D. new research that is not more than minimal risk, with all methods or of the following. <u>All</u> methods must be <u>one</u> of the categories below, or exemptible category otherwise the research is not expeditable. | | nore | |

| | - ex | tisting data, documents, records, specimens originally for nonresearch <i>If from IHS records or specimens, Privacy Act may apply; see 8.C.</i> | | ses | \bigcirc |
|-----|---------|---|----------------------|------------|---------------|
| | - nc | on-exempt research on individual/group behavior or characteristics by interviews, focus groups, oral histories, program evaluations, human evaluation, or studies of quality assurance methods | • | | \bigcirc |
| | - co | ollect data of adult/child by noninvasive clinical procedure, e.g., weigh | nt, hea | ring | (|
| | - co | ollect data by clinical non-radiation devices (MRI, EKG, EEG, ultrason doppler, echocardiogram, infrared, thermogram, measure natural rad | |) | \bigcirc |
| | - m | oderate testing of/by exercise, muscle strength, flexibility, or body con | mposi | tion | \bigcirc |
| | - re | search on drugs or devices not needing IND drug or IDE device applie | cation | | (|
| | - ve | enipuncture/fingerstick blood $<=2x/wk$: healthy non-pregnant adult $<$ ($<=550$ ml / 8 wks); healthy adult <110 lbs or child ($<=3$ ml/kg | | | \bigcirc |
| | - nc | oninvasively collect hair, nail clippings, deciduous or permanent teeth, dental plaque/calculus, sweat, saliva, amniotic fluid, sputum, placent mucosal-buccal cells [But are there cultural harms in this research?] | a, skii | | \bigcirc |
| | - co | ollect data from voice, video, digital, or image recordings made for res | search <u>Yes</u> | <u>n/a</u> | () No |
| If | not ex | peditable now, can it be made expeditable by minor changes? (If so, see if the PI will make those changes.) | | | |
| NOT | TE: exp | pedited research must meet all IRB requirements, i.e., fill out checkli | st. | | |
| 4. | Area | anonymity, security, confidentiality, and privacy maintained? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>n/a</u> | <u>No</u> |
| | A. | If 'anonymous,' are all data in fact anonymous, e.g., no birthdates? | | | |
| | B. | Are all computer & non-computer data held in a secure manner? | | | |
| | C. | If 'confidential,' are confidentiality measures adequate? | | | |
| | D. | If sensitive identifiable data, is there a <u>Certificate of Confidentiality</u> ? | | | |
| | | | | | |

If the research involves children (age <18) and is greater than minimal 5.

.

| | risk, | does it meet the regulations? [46.405-408] | Yes | <u>n/a</u> | <u>No</u> |
|--------------|--------|---|------------|------------|-----------|
| [.405] | A. | Does the research present the prospect of direct benefit to child? If yes: IHS IRB may approve. If no, go to "B." | | | |
| [.406] | B. | Is it <i>both</i> <u>only a minor increase</u> over minimal risk, <i>and</i> will it give vitally important knowledge about child's disorder? If yes: IHS IRB approval; both parents must permit. If no, go to "C. | | | |
| [.407] | C. | Does it present opportunity to understand, alleviate, or prevent a <u>serproblem affecting children</u> ? If A and B are "no" but C is "yes," send protocol to OHRP for revie If A , B , & C are "no," it is not approvable. | | | |
| 6. | Does | research meet requirements and recommendations for trials? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>n/a</u> | No |
| [.111(a)(6)] | А. | A monitoring committee for safety (Phase II) or for data & safety (Phase II), especially for double-masked ('blind') trials? | hase | | |
| | B. | If a <i>controlled</i> trial, will all eligible volunteers be offered the proven effective treatment? [see 9.E.(2)] | | | |
| | ••••• | | | | •••• |
| 7. | Area | all appropriate <u>documents from other IRB(s)</u> included? | Yes | <u>n/a</u> | No |
| | Is an | entity with an IRB (e.g., state, university, CDC, NIH) involved? If "yes," does the research have <u>both</u> | | | |
| | A. | Form 596 or letter with MPA #, effective date, and conditions? and | | | |
| | В. | Is the approval still valid, <i>i.e.</i> , <u>effective date < 1 year old</u> ? | | | |
| ••••• | •••••• | | ••••• | | •••• |
| 8. | Will | the research <u>comply with best practices & government policies</u> ? | Yes | <u>n/a</u> | <u>No</u> |
| | Α. | Does it minimize harms and maximize benefits to the tribe(s) by Participatory Research (PR) <i>[see <u>BMJ</u> 1999; 319:774-778]?</i> <i>Whether or not PR, does the research plan to:</i> 1] work with communities to identify & minimize harms; and | | | |
| | | 2] report timely results to the tribe(s), and to IHS; and 3] have the tribe(s)& IHS if relevantreview all publications? | \square | | |
| | В. | Will OMB or the tribe(s) approve the questionnaire(s), if indicated? | | | |
| | C. | Will the researchers comply with the <u>Privacy Act</u> ? It applies to <u>non-</u> <u>government research</u> that wants confidential <u>identifiable data</u> from ge | | | |

| | | records [e.g., medical] without consent of the person. DHHS or IH a) determine that the use or disclosure does not violate law or police b) determine that the research 1) could not be accomplished without records with individual identifiers; & 2) warrants the risk to p c) require the receiving researchers to 1) have reasonable administrative, technical, & physical security 2) remove or destroy individual identifiers at the earliest possible 3) make no non-emergency use/disclosure of data without prior of d) obtain a written statement by the researchers that they will abide 1) If the Privacy Act applies, have the researchers complied with Privacy Act? | cy; tt prov privacy y of al. e time, approv e by a- | viding v; l data, , and val; an | d |
|--------------|----|--|---|---|------------|
| 9. | | es scientific merit outweigh risk? Are risks minimized, benefits ma justice ensured to individuals, families, communities? [46.111(a)] | ximiz No | ed, <u>n/a</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| | A. | Is the research more than minimal risk? Phase I, II, or III trials of INDs-IDEs are 'indeterminate risk,' i.e., more than minimal risk [>MR]. Due to workload, this IRB usually assesses risks, benefits, and science methods in >MR research. | only | | |
| [.111(a)(2)] | B. | If $>MR$, do its scientific methods and merit outweigh its risks? | Yes | <u>n/a</u> | <u>No</u> |
| | | Does the research have the scientific methods that are essential for gequantitative and qualitative research? 1) For quantitative research, e.g.,: | good | | |
| | | (a) validated measures, (b) adequate sample size, (c) pretest, (d) controls, (e) other [] | | | |
| | | 2) For qualitative research [see: BMJ 2000; 320(1):50-52], e.g., (a) respondent validation, (b) negative cases, (c) triangulation, (d) good methods to collect & analyze data, (e) fair dealing, (f) reflexivity, (g) other []. | | | |
| | | If not, what should be changed? | ? | | |
| [.111(a)(1)] | C. | | d | | |

IHS IRB (45 CFR 46) Checklist, 12/01/01

| | communities? [E.G., provide follow-up counseling to families and individuals. See 8.A. to minimize community harms.] | |
|--------------|---|---------------|
| | If not, what should be changed? | |
| | ? | |
| [.111(a)(2)] | D. If >MR, are potential benefits maximized to individuals, families, & communities? [E.G., newsletters to participants with the status of the research and meaning to individuals, families, and community of the results. See 8.A. to maximize community benefits.] | |
| | If not, what should be changed? | |
| | ? | |
| [.111(a)(3)] | E. Is justice ensured to individuals, families, and communities? i.e.,: | |
| | 1) The study population is suitable for research | |
| | 2) In RCTs, offer the treatment proven effective to individuals, families, and communities in the RCT | |
| | 3) Other [] | |
| | If not, what should be changed? | |
| | ? | |
| | | |
| 10. | Should the IRB <u>waive the requirement to obtain</u> informed consent, or so required elements of informed consent? [46.116(c) or (d)] | |
| | A project can qualify for waiver of requirements to give all essential element informed consent, if it meets <u>both</u> conditions A) and B), below. Both that | s of |
| | A) The research could not "practicably" [=feasibly] be done without the | waiver. |
| | and B) The research is either 1) or 2), below: | |
| [.116(c)] | <i>Either it is</i> 1) a research or demonstration project | (|
| | <u>both</u> that (a) is directed or approved by state, local, or tribal governme <u>and</u> that | nts, [_] |
| | (b) concerns only administrative/regulatory issues in service por <i>it is</i> | programs; [_] |
| [.116(d)] | a type of research (<i>e.g.</i>, an activity for which consent is usually not obtained, or research that involves deception of the voluntee | r |

| | and thus cannot seek fully informed consent initially) | | (|
|--------------|--|------------|--------------------|
| | <i>meeting all of the following, that</i>(a) involves no more than minimal risk, | | [_] |
| | <i>and that</i> (b) will give volunteers pertinent information at the end if appropriate that | iate, | [] |
| | and that(c) the waiver will not adversely affect volunteers' rights or welfa | re. | |
| | NOTE: If the research obtains IHS records or specimens, and if the IRB waives consent, the Privacy Act may apply; see 8.C. | Yes | <u>No</u> |
| | C. If the research qualifies for waiver of informed consent, should the IRB still require the research to obtain full informed consent? | | |
| | Should the IRB waive requirements to document informed consent? [46.117(c) | 1 Pr | r <u>esent</u> |
| | A project can qualify for waiver of written documentation that informed consent obtained, if it meets either condition A) or condition B), below: | was | |
| [.117(c)(1)] | <i>either that</i> A. the existence of signed informed consent forms itself would place the volunteer at major risk (<i>e.g.</i> , potential loss of confidentiality or anonymity of people interviewed about extremely sensitive behavior); | | |
| [.117(c)(2)] | or that B. the research | | |
| | <i>both</i> 1) presents only minimal risk, <i>and</i> | | \bigcirc |
| | 2) involves no procedures which normally require written consent. | | () |
| | C. If the research qualifies for waiver of documenting informed consent, <i>should the project still require the research to</i> | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> |
| | <i>either to</i> 1) document fully informed consent, | | |
| [.117(c)] | <u>or</u> to2) offer each volunteer a written fact sheet? | | |
| 12. | Are procedures adequate to negotiate and administer full consent? No | <u>n/a</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| | A. May <i>researcher</i> compensation [e.g., capitation payments] or other factors influence them to try too strongly to enroll participants? | | |
| | B. May the method or amount of <i>participant</i> compensation or other factors unduly influence or coerce them to 'consent'? | | |

| | C. | Does the project adequately describe the <u>all processes of consent</u> : <u>Both that</u> | Yes | <u>n/a</u> | <u>No</u> |
|--------------------|-------|--|-------|-------------|-----------|
| | | 1) inform prospective volunteers (e.g., skilled negotiating, unhurried time, setting facilitates information transfer); and that | | | |
| | | 2) offer time for prospective volunteer to discuss with family; and that | | | |
| | | assess prospective volunteers' comprehension; and that | | | |
| | | 4) document the consent process. | | | |
| | D. | Does the research have <u>all relevant consent documents</u> , including: 1) consent, 2) assent sarint | | | |
| | | assent script, parental permission, | | | |
| | | 4) telephone script, | | | |
| | | 5) soliciting advertisement, | | | |
| | | 6) introduction/approach letter, and | | | |
| | | 7) other []? | | | |
| @ [.117(a)] | E. | Give an information copy of the consent document to all volunteers. | | | |
| @ [.408(b)] | F. | For children age 0-17, a form and process of parental permission. | | | |
| @ [.408(a)] | | 1) For minors old enough, a process of their <u>assent</u> . | | | |
| | ••••• | | ••••• | | •••• |
| 13. | Are a | all <u>necessary elements of informed consent</u> included? | | | |
| | | [Explanation of item] @ = Items <u>required</u> by regulation [45 CFR 46.116(a)/(b)] | Yes | n/a | No |
| | | $\mathbf{w} = \operatorname{Herns} \operatorname{\underline{required}} \operatorname{by} \operatorname{regulation} [+5 \operatorname{CIR} + 0.110(a)/(b)]$ | 105 | <u>11/a</u> | 110 |
| @ [(a)(1)] | A. | A clear statement that the study is " <u>research</u> " [The word "research" should be early in document & not hidden] | | | |
| @ [(a)(1)] | В. | All the research purposes [i.e., research objectives] clearly stated [Check the document's list of purposes against the protocol's list] | | | |
| [(b)(6)] | C. | How and why prospective volunteers are selected | | | |
| @ [(a)(1)] | D. | Expected <u>duration</u> of the volunteer's involvement [Necessary if the duration is long, or is not obvious] | | | |
| @ [(a)(1)] | E. | Procedure(s) or treatment(s) to be done | | | |
| @ [(a)(3)] | F. | Reasonably expected <u>benefits</u> to volunteer and others [Do not overpromise>thus, "possible benefits," "benefits <u>may</u> state if no benefits to individual; "possible benefits to tribe" is permissible; compensation is not in benefits but is separatesee OHF | | | |

| @ [(a)(2)] | G. | Reasonably foreseeable <u>discomfort & risks</u> including all in protocol |
|-------------------|----|---|
| [(b)(1)] | H. | Especially for experiments, a statement that the treatment(s) or procedure(s) "may involve risks that are currently unforeseeable" |
| @ [(a)(1)] | I. | Which procedures-treatments are <u>experimental</u> say "experimental" |
| @ [(a)(4)] | J. | The <u>alternatives</u> to the research's diagnostic method or treatment |
| [(b)(4)] | K. | Procedure for the <u>orderly termination</u> of a volunteer's participation |
| [(b)(4)] | | 1) Consequences of a volunteer's <u>withdrawal</u> from the research |
| [(b)(2)] | | 2) When may the researcher <u>terminate</u> a volunteer's participation without the volunteer's consent |
| [(b)(5)] | L. | Plans to inform volunteers of significant research findings during or after the study relevant to their continued participation or treatment [Applicable primarily either to clinical trials, or to "deception" research in which debriefing at the end is a standard procedure] |
| @ [(a)(6)] | M. | If > minimal risk: <u>"In case of injury or severe adverse affect"</u> |
| | | [Per regulations, M is applicable only to greater-than-minimal-risk research. A not-greater-than-minimal-risk-research protocol may want to include M, M1, M2, or M3or IRB may want them included] |
| @ | | 1) will medical care for adverse affects be given? who? where? |
| @ | | 2) is compensation for adverse affects available? how? |
| (a)(6)&(7) |)] | 3) whom should a volunteer contact with injury or adverse affect? |
| @ [(a)(7)] | N. | Who will answer questions about the research itself? |
| @ [(a)(5)] | 0. | How <u>confidentiality</u> () or <u>anonymity</u> () are maintained |
| @ [(a)(7)] | P. | Who will answer other concerns, complaints, or grievances? [Regulations call this "subject rights"; usually the IRB, with telephone #collect call if long distance] |

| [(b)(3)] | Q. | Financial factors (extra costs of, or compensation for, participation) | | |
|-------------------|------|--|---------------|----------------|
| [.109(b)] | R. | Other elements a reasonable person would want to know | | |
| @ [(a)(8)] | S. | If a <u>Certificate of Confidentiality</u> , an appropriate description E.G., "We have a Certificate of Confidentiality from IHS. The Certificate means that <u>no-one</u> can make us give information about you to anyone outside the study <u>without your consent</u> , not even police or courts. We will not share anything you give us with anyone, except in one case. If you tell us that you or someone may be in danger of great harm, or of physical or sexual abuse, we will report it. The Certificate does not mean that the Department of Health and Human Services or IHS endorse this research." <i>This is 10th grade readability</i> . <u>Non-coercion disclaimer</u> . E.G., "Taking part is voluntary. You may refuse to take part without any penalty or loss of care or services by IHS or others. You may quit at any time, without penalty or loss of care or services for which you are qualified." <i>[This is sample wording; if IHS is not involved, omit 'by IHS or others']</i> | | _ |
| | Addi | itional IRB decisions: [46.103(b)(4)(ii), 46.111(a)(6)] | <u>No</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| [.103(b)(4)] | A. | Should IRB seek compliance reports from sources other than the PI? | | |
| | If | "Yes," reason(s): | | |
| | B. | Should the IRB: | | |
| [.103(b)(4)] | | 1) get reports from or review the research sooner than annually, or | | |
| [.111(a)(6)] | | 2) <u>monitor</u> the research or consent procedures? | _ | |
| | If | "Yes," reason(s): | | |
| | C. | Is the research \geq minimal risk? (This is necessary for 'Annual' Reviews.) | | |